

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/755,855	Applicant(s) LIPPARD ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrew D. Kosar	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(2) Michael J. DiVerdi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Time: 14:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

35 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Andrew D. Kosar
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Applicant's representative to obtain permission for the examiner's amendment which corrects the improper multiple dependency of claims 35 and 42, placing the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to the amendments. For clarity, claims 35 and 42 have been rewritten as new claims 43 and 44..